# **PEMFCs – CO Tolerance and Direct Methanol Operation Methanol Operation**

J. M. Fenton11/20/02

ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton 1

### **Cross Section of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Cross Section of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell**



### **Performance Characteristics of a Fuel Cell Performance Characteristics of a Fuel Cell**



#### Current Density (mA/cm2)

# **Key Overpotential Sources Key Overpotential Sources**

- Electrode losses
	- Kinetic losses
	- Transport losses
	- Resistive losses: ionic resistance
- Membrane internal resistance (IR) losses - Includes contact resistances
	-
- Transport losses within the diffusion layers

# **Objective: Objective: Minimize Losses; Ensure Longevity Minimize Losses; Ensure Longevity**

# **Drawbacks of Direct Hydrogen Operation Drawbacks of Direct Hydrogen Operation**

- Hydrogen infrastructure not yet available
- Systems issues (automobile):
	- fuel storage
	- weight and volume for reasonable range
	- ease of refueling?
	- safety?
- Direct hydrogen (pure hydrogen) does not seem feasible (and / or economically viable) in the near future

# **Other Options?? Other Options??**

### **Indirect Hydrogen**

- Reform readily available liquid fuel (or natural gas for stationary applications)
- Use  $H_2$  thus synthesized in the fuel cell
- In-situ, continuous generation
- Mature technology (reforming)

### **Direct Methanol**

- Feed methanol (and water) directly into fuel cell anode
- Oxidize methanol (instead of hydrogen) at the anode
- Methanol may be fed as a liquid or as a vapour
- Greatly simplifies system and infrastructure issues

### **Fuel Cell Propulsion Systems: Increasing Simplicity**



#### $\frac{1}{2}$

# **Indirect Hydrogen – Effect of CO**

- Reformate stream contains CO and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
- CO can be minimized by shift conversion  $(0.4)$ – 2 % CO), and further reduced by partial oxidation ( $<$  100 ppm CO)
- However, even 10 ppm of CO detrimental to electrocatalyst – adsorbs on active sites increases anode overpotential
- Clearly, need better fuel processing (and) CO tolerant electrocatalysts (and) a technique to minimize CO adsorption

### **Effect of CO in Reformate on Performance**



Fig. 13. Effects of CO level (ppm) in the hydrogen feed stream on the performance of a PEFC at 80 °C. Both electrodes were based on an ionomer-impregnated Pt/C catalyst and thin sputtered platinum film, of total loading 0.45 mg Pt/cm<sup>2</sup> [17].

#### Pressurized Operation??

ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton Gottesfeld

#### $\frac{d}{d}$  9

### **Effect of CO in Reformate on Performance**



Cell Performance with Various CO Concentrations at 80<sup>o</sup>C and 1atm Anode: $H_2$ +CO at 90 $^{\circ}$ C, 40%Pt-Ru/C; Cathode: O<sub>2</sub>

### **Why the Performance Loss? Why the Performance Loss?**

 $E_{cell} = E_{\text{ cathode}} - E_{\text{anode}}$ Thermodynamically:

 $E_{\text{ cathode}} = 1.23 \text{ V} (O_2 + 4 \text{ H}^+ + 4 \text{e}^- = 2 \text{H}_2 \text{O})$  $E_{\text{anode}} = 0 \text{ V } (H_2 = 2H^+ + 2e^-)$ Therefore  $E_{cell}(max) = 1.23$  V In the presence of CO, two electrochemical reactions occur:

$Pt + CO$	$CO/Pt$
$H_2 + 2Pt$	$2 H/Pt$ (rate-limiting)
$H/Pt$	$Pt + H^+ + e^-$
$CO/Pt + OH_{ads}$	$Pt + CO_2 + H^+ + e^-$

- Anode overpotential determined by the relative contribution of CO oxidation
- Low currents hydrogen requirements met by adsorbed  $H<sub>2</sub>$
- High currents (or large CO concentrations) adsorbed H<sub>2</sub> insufficient for faradaic requirements
- Therefore, CO removal (via electrooxidation) has to occur – higher anode overpotential, higher E  $_{\text{anode}}$  – lower  $E_{cell}$

### **Key Strategy: minimize CO adsorption on catalyst**

#### Anode Overpotentials of  $40\%$  wt Pt-Ru/C (Pt/Ru =  $1/1$ ) with H<sub>2</sub> containing CO (10.4 to 485 ppm) at 80 °C (100% **R.H.).**



Recall: Performance characteristics chart – contribution of anode overpotential (slide 3)

**Note:** increasing overpotential with: increasing CO concentration and increasing currents

ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton 5i, Jiang

# **How to Deal with CO?**

- Air Bleed (or oxygen bleed)
- $\bullet$ Better (more CO tolerant) anode electrocatalysts
- Elevated temperature operation

### **Each of above briefly discussed in forthcoming slides**

### **Note – improvements in reforming, shift conversion and partial oxidation steps are also of great use – however, these approaches are not discussed in this lecture**

# **Air / Oxygen Bleed Air / Oxygen Bleed**

- CO surface coverage biggest problem
- Bleeding oxygen (as oxygen or as air) into the fuel stream helps:
	- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  CO poisoning Pt sites are oxidized in the presence of free platinum to give  $CO<sub>2</sub>$



- The strong preferential adsorption of CO on Pt actually helps this mechanism!

### **Demonstration of Air Bleed Efficacy Demonstration of Air Bleed Efficacy**



Fig. 14. Cleansing by oxygen bleeding of a platinum anode catalyst in the presence of 5-20 ppm CO in the hydrogen fuel, demonstrated for a platinum anode catalyst of ultra-low loading (0.14 mg Pt/cm<sup>2</sup>), consisting of a Pt/C//ionomer thin film composite bonded to the membrane [21]. (Reprinted by permission of the American Chemical Society).

# **Demerits of Air Bleed Technique Demerits of Air Bleed Technique**

- Explosive limit of  $O_2$  in  $H_2$  is 5%. This limits amount of CO tolerated to  $\sim$ 100ppm
- Some loss in fuel efficiency due to chemical oxidation of hydrogen
- This loss increases as amount of oxygen introduced increases (2 fold increase) – thus the larger the amount of CO in stream, the larger the loss of fuel efficiency

### **CO Tolerant Electrocatalysts CO Tolerant Electrocatalysts**

#### **Recall:** mechanism of CO and H<sub>2</sub> oxidation in a mixed stream:

 $Pt + CO \longrightarrow CO/Pt$ 

 $H/Pt$  Pt + H<sup>+</sup> +e<sup>-</sup>

 $H_2 + 2 Pt$   $\longrightarrow$  2 H/Pt (rate-limiting)

 $\text{CO/Pt} + \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} \longrightarrow \text{Pt} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^{-}$ Now, for CO electrooxidation, the catalyst site must be hydrated (have an attached hydroxyl group on its surface)

> $Ru + H_2O \longrightarrow Ru-OH_{ads} + H^+ + e^ Pt + H_2O \longrightarrow$  Pt-OH<sub>ads</sub> + H<sup>+</sup> + e-

The potential at which this group is generated varies from catalyst to catalyst:

- 0.5 V for Pt
- $\sim$  0.2 V for Ru!
- Thus, catalysts with Ru will have a lower anodic overpotential at high currents (or high CO concentrations) than catalysts containing Pt
- Ru not a very good catalyst for  $H_2$  oxidation
- Pt-Ru alloys have been successfully used

### However – limitations such as increasing **anode overpotential with increasing CO anode overpotential with increasing CO concentration and increasing currents are concentration and increasing currents are not eliminated by this approach**

- The extent of CO tolerance depends greatly on the catalyst structure and formulation
- Even for the best catalysts, the improved CO tolerance all but vanishes for high currents
- However, a combination of precisely formulated catalyst (typically 1:1:: Pt:Ru) and air / oxygen bleed has been found to be effective at high currents as well

## **Elevated Temperature Operation Elevated Temperature Operation**

- CO adsorption on Pt is an exothermic process
- By the Le-Chatlier Braun principle, increasing the system temperature favours the endothermic CO desorption reaction
- Effect of increasing system temperature is to lower the fraction of catalyst covered with CO, thereby lowering anode overpotential
- The effect has been clearly demonstrated

 $CO+Pt = CO-Pt$  (associative adsorption)  $H_2+2$  Pt = 2 H-Pt (dissociative adsorption)

Fractional coverage (f) of CO and H given by:  $\rm{f_{CO}}$  =  $\rm{K_{CO}}$   $\rm{P_{CO}}$ /[1+  $\rm{K_{CO}}$   $\rm{P_{CO}}$ + $\rm{K_{H}}^{0.5} \rm{P_{H}}^{0.5}]$  $\rm{f_{H}=K_{H}^{-0.5}P_{H}^{-0.5}/[1\pm K_{CO}\,P_{CO}+K_{H}^{-0.5}P_{H}^{-0.5}]}$  $K =$  equilibrium constants,  $P =$  partial pressures

As T increases,  $f_H$  increases as H adsorption is less exothermic than CO adsorption, and because H adsorption requires 2 sites as opposed to one for **CO adsorption CO adsorption**



### **Effect of temperature on CO chemisorption on potential** Anode catalysts – note also the exceptional CO tolerance of **Pt-Ru alloys when compared to Pt**

ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton Si

### **CO Coverage on the Pt-Ru Catalyst Surface at Various Temperatures Various Temperatures**





#### **Anode overpotentials vs. current density and CO concentration on Pt-Ru catalyst**

#### **Similar scales, increasing temperature from left to right (80C, 105C and 120C)**

**Performance curves follow**



#### **IR-Free H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>Cell Performance with Different CO Concentrations at 120 oC on Pt-Ru**

#### Pure H2

#### H2+10.4ppm CO



### **Can we extend this infinitely? Can we extend this infinitely?**

- $\bullet$ **NO!!!**
- $\bullet$ • Materials issues rise to the fore – especially the ionomeric membrane in a PEMFC
- **Note:** previous figures indicated 105<sup>o</sup>C to be a better CO tolerant operating temperature than 120°C – contrary to expectation based on Le-Chatlier- Braun principle
- This apparent contradiction effect of membrane resistance, cathode overpotential and system water content. These issues will be discussed in the following slides

**Note – membrane conductivity (p) determines its resistance at any given condition for a given thickness (t) and active area**   $(A)(R = p t/A)$ 

# **Temperature and Relative Humidity Temperature and Relative Humidity**

- Linked to one another
- Maintaing a saturated environment above 100°C – requires system pressurization
- Leads to parasitic power losses and complex systems
- Need exists to develop membranes for high temperature / low relative humidity operations

### Is proton conductivity influenced by **temperature and water content?? temperature and water content??**

### **Limitations of Current PEM Technology Limitations of Current PEM Technology**

- Conductivity strong function of water content
- • Drops in under saturated environments
- • Increased membrane and electrode resistance at High T / Low RH







#### ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton Zawodzinski/Gottesfeld, Ramani 30

# **Conductivity Mechanisms Conductivity Mechanisms**

### **"Vehicular" mechanism**

- Proton attached to solvent ("vehicle") molecule – e.g.  $\rm H_3O^+$
- Moves at rate of vehicular diffusion
- Vehicle counter diffusion
- **Net proton transport – governed by vehicle diffusion rates**

### **Grotthuss mechanism**

- Also called "hopping" mechanism
- Stationary vehicles (only local motion)
- Proton "hops" from vehicle to vehicle
- **Always** within H bond environment
- Solvent reorientation provides  $H^+$  pathway
- •**Continuous motion**

### **Gierke Cluster Network Model for Nafion Gierke Cluster Network Model for Nafion®**



#### ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton Columban

# **Conductivity in Nafion Conductivity in Nafion®**

### **LT / 100% RH**

- $\bullet$ High water uptake
- $\bullet$  **Combined vehicular / Grotthuss mechanisms**
- $\bullet$  Large water content – symmetric environment
- $\bullet$ Easy, quick reorientation
- $\bullet$ Large cluster diameters (4 nm); large interconnecting pores  $(\sim 1$ nm)
- $\bullet$ **Good diffusional transport**
- •**Fast hopping**
- •**High conductivity!**

### **HT / LRH**

- $\bullet$ Low water uptake
- $\bullet$ Cluster shrinks ( $\sim$  2.4 nm)
- •**Hopping – difficult**
- $\bullet$  **Proton transport – vehicular mechanism**
- •Pore narrowing
- • **Poor diffusional transport**
- •**Low conductivity!**

# **Alternate Strategy Alternate Strategy**

- • Nafion® composite membranes
- • Incorporation of inorganic additives to Nafion® matrix
- • Additives used –Heteropolyacids (HPAs), layered phosphates, metal oxides, etc.



Nafion<sup>®</sup> 112

#### Nafion® / PTA



Nafion® vs. composite membranes



#### $H_2/O_2$ , 1 atm

### **Effectiveness of composite membranes at high temperatures and low relative humidities temperatures and low relative humidities**

- The development of such composite membranes permits operation at higher temperatures – though resistive losses are still greater than at 80°C
- The temperatures currently attainable at ambient pressure (130°C) allow operation (in conjunction with CO tolerant catalysts) with up to 100ppm CO with minimal losses (when compared to operation with pure  $\rm H_2$  at  $130^oC)$
- This approach can be combined with techniques such as air-bleed for greater efficacy
- Further improvement hinges on improved membranes and electrocatalysts

# **Effect of Water Content on CO Tolerance**

- **Recall:** CO oxidation **requires** the generation of hydroxyl (OH-) groups on the catalyst surface
- Such groups are generated by the oxidation of **water**
- Thus, better CO tolerance can be achieved under well hydrated conditions

**Trade off exists between Temperature (and lower surface coverage) and humidity (and more hydroxyl groups generated on more hydroxyl groups generated on catalyst)!! catalyst)!!**

### **Effect of CO on Membrane Resistance**



### **Resistance: Resistance:**

- Constant at 100% RH,

-Increases slightly with CO concentration at 50% RH

- Increases perceptibly at 30% RH

# **Why Does Resistance Increase? Why Does Resistance Increase?**

- The oxidation of CO to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  will occur at a rate determined by the current output of the cell
- Thus, all available water is used up (to generate hydroxyl groups) at a particular CO concentration
- Any increase in CO concentration will result in water being sucked out from the membrane to support CO oxidation – thereby increasing membrane resistance
- The CO concentration at which this starts to occur is lower at lower relative humidities

# **Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on PEMFC Performance**

- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  neither chemically nor electrochemically inert!
- Can be chemically reduced to give CO (reverse water gas shift:  $CO_2 + H_2 = CO + H_2O$
- Can be electrochemically reduced to give CO  $\rm CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2$  e<sup>-</sup> = CO+  $\rm H_2O$
- Approaches similar to those adopted for CO tolerance have been shown to improve  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ tolerance as well

### **Effect of CO Effect of CO2 – Treatment Using Air Treatment Using Air-Bleed**



Fig. 16. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> at the level expected in amethanol reformate, and of subsequent oxygen addition to the anode, in the case of a  $H_2$ /air PEFC with a 0.12 mg Pt/cm<sup>2</sup> thin-film anode [21]. (Reprinted by permission of the American Chemical Society).

#### ME 295/320 Fuel Cell Engg.- J. M. Fenton Gottesfeld

#### $\mathbf d$  and  $\mathbf d$