Introduction of nickel extraction from chromitiferous overburden bysulphatization roasting

<u>Myeong Ho Park</u>, ¹Young Su Jiong, ¹Dong Hee Kim, DaeMyungAn and Kyu Suk Hwang^{*} Department of Chemical Engineering, Pusan National University ¹ENERTEC CO,LTD

ABSTRACT

Various operating parameters, such as roasting temperature, time, acid concentration and role of additives were studied. Addition ofmoisture, during pugging, helped in the formation of insoluble ferric hydroxysulphate thatmaintains the equilibrium of SOJS03 partial pressure. The double stage roasting improved thenickel extraction over the single stage isothermal roasting of the material at 700°C. An extraction of85% nickel with 2-3% iron could be achieved at a temperature of 700°C when roasted for 15 rains,25 wt% sulphuricacid and 20 wt% moisture in two stages. The nickel extraction was improved afurther 8-10% by the addition of alkali and alkaline earth metal salts. The role of additives duringroasting was also introduced.

Key words :nickel extraction, roasting

INTRODUCTION

Nickel bearing laterite and chromitiferous overburden are the only source of nickel available in the Sukindavalley of Orissa, India. The overburden has a nickel content that ranges from 0.5 to 0.9 wt% [1].

To extract nickel from these lateritic ores, various pyro and hydro metallurgical methods have been tried. Ammonia-ammonium carbonate leaching of the reduced ore has been carried out in the presence of oxygen[2,3] and about 70--75 % of the nickel has beenextracted. Leaching with sulphuric acid has also been carried out at atmospheric, as well as elevatedpressure and temperature [4-6]. It has beenobserved that preferential sulphation of nickel over iron can be obtained with certain parametric values. Processes have also been tried with ferric sulphate, sulphuric acid leaching [7,8].

All the above processes require a high consumption of leachant and also the disposal of these leachatesafter treatment is tedious. Efforts have been made to extract nickel from Indian laterites [9-11] using different routes.

In another study [12-15], an attempt has been made to extract nickel and cobaltpreferentially from Serpentinic ores, containing more than 1.3% nickel using pug roasting followed bywater leaching and hydrogen sulphide precipitation of nickel and cobalt from the leach liquor.

In the present study, an attempt has been made to extract nickel selectively from chromitiferous overburdenby roasting the sulphuric acid pug of the low nickel chromitiferous overburden in two stages. The role of additives on the improvement of nickel extraction and their reaction mechanism has been discussed, as wellas studying the effect of other process variables.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Material preparation

The ore samples obtained from R.O.M contained about 25 wt% moisture. The samples were dried at 110°Cfor 2 hours before grinding. The chemical analysis was carried out by standard elemental methods and theassay composition of the ore (-106~t) used in the study was Fe203 -- 66.58%, Ni-1.31%, Co---0.078%, SIO2--5.6%, Cat 4.65%, A1203----4.85%, MGO--2.51%, Acid In.--1.5%, L.O.I.--12.12%.

Materials

Chromitiferous overburden, analaR grade sulphuric acid, NaC1, CaCI2, MgSO4, A12(SO4)3, Na2SO4, K2SO4and sea water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Introduction of The effectof temperature on nickel extraction is shown in Figurel. Interestingly, there is a sharp increase in nickelsulphation between $650 \sim 700^{\circ}$ C, after which sulphation decreases considerably. The decrease in sulphationmay be attributed to the partial decomposition of nickel sulphate at higher temperature, and secondly,above 700° C, the conversion of SO₂ to SO₃ ceases and the equilibrium shifts towards the reactant side. Due to this, there is no further improvement of nickel extraction. A two stage roasting process proved to bemore appropriate to increase the nickel sulphation (Table 1), where pre-roasting is carried out at 450°Cfollowed by roasting at 700°C.

It can be seen that there is considerable improvement, up to 700°C, in the conversion of nickel oxide to sulphate by moisting the pug prior to pre-roasting at 450°C. The pre-roasted sample subjected to secondstage roasting at 700°C resulted in an improvement of 16% nickel with 3% iron. This may be due to thefact that direct pre-heating at 450°C makes the process conducive for the preferential sulphation of nickel, where partial transformation of soluble iron sulphate to insoluble basic iron sulphate takes place, liberatingSO₃ gas in-situ, the gas in turn reacting with nickel oxide to form nickel sulphates.

The results obtained by the addition of sulphateand chloride salts of alkali and alkaline earth metals are shown in Table 2. Itcan be seen that the extraction of nickel has been improved by 10% due to the addition of salts. Theimprovement of nickel extraction may be attributed to the decomposition of alkali sulphate, formingpyrosulphate, at higher temperature. The pyrosulphates, thus formed, are in a mobile liquid phase whichwets the surface of the sulphated layer and makes the reactant surface very porous.

Addition of sulphuric acid to the ore in different proportions, ranging from 10 to 40 wt%, has been studied and the data obtained is shown in Figure 2.

It has been observed that moisture plays an important role during pugging and pre-roasting (Table 1). TheXRD data of the ore shows FeO(OH) and Fe_2O_3 as the major phases and the roasted sample indicates the conversion of iron sulphate to insoluble basic iron sulphate, $Fe(OH)SO_4$. at 450°C and complete conversion of iron sulphate to Fe_2O_3 at 700°C.



Fig.1. Extraction of nickel with time at different temperatures.



Fig. 2.Effect of acid on nickel and iron extraction.

Table1. Effect of temperature on nickel and iron extraction with and without moisture in a single stage and double stage roasting (Conditions: Sulphuric acid =25wt%, Particle size= 106µm, Time=15 mins, Temperature=700°C)

Time to mino, Temperature (66 C)									
	5wt% addition		10wt% addition		15wt% addition				
Additives	Extraction		Extraction		Extraction				
	%Ni	%Fe	%Ni	%Fe	%Ni	%Fe			
Na_2SO_4	90.46	3.52	94.14	3.12	96.01	3.24			
K_2SO_4	85.09	3.77	88.40	3.24	88.60	3.21			
MgSO ₄	84.92	3.65	86.72	3.20	86.99	3.32			
$Al_2(SO_4)_3$	85.38	3.54	87.98	3.40	90.40	3.41			
NaCl	90.88	3.28	92.63	3.09	93.48	3.21			
Seawater	87.64	3.13	89.21	2.99	90.32	3.19			
CaCl ₂	86.45	3.00	89.98	3.09	90.98	3.22			

Table2. Double stage roasting using alkali and alkaline salts of sulphates and chlorides (Conditions: Sulphuric acid =25wt%, Particle size= 106µm, Time=15 mins, Temperature=700°C)

Suprarie dela 20 (170, 1 artere Size 100 pain, 1111e 15 minis, 1 emperadare 700 C)								
	5wt% addition		10wt% addition		15wt% addition			
Additives	Extraction		Extraction		Extraction			
	%Ni	%Fe	%Ni	%Fe	%Ni	%Fe		
Na_2SO_4	90.46	3.52	94.14	3.12	96.01	3.24		
K_2SO_4	85.09	3.77	88.40	3.24	88.60	3.21		
$MgSO_4$	84.92	3.65	86.72	3.20	86.99	3.32		
$Al_2(SO_4)_3$	85.38	3.54	87.98	3.40	90.40	3.41		
NaCl	90.88	3.28	92.63	3.09	93.48	3.21		
Seawater	87.64	3.13	89.21	2.99	90.32	3.19		
CaCl ₂	86.45	3.00	89.98	3.09	90.98	3.22		

CONCLUSIONS

The above investigations reveal the preferential sulphation conditions and limitations of the sulphatization process. The vital factor that governs the sulphation is the contact of $SO_3(g)$ with the ore at a suitable temperature.

Improved nickel extraction can be achieved by two stage roasting over single stage roasting at 700°C, 15mins roasting time with 25 wt% H_2SO_4 .

The two stage roasting has yielded 85% nickel along with 2-3% of iron. The nickel extraction is further improved by 10% with the addition of alkali metal salts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by the program of Leading Industry Development for Economic Region.

REFERENCES

- [1]Rao, R.B., Prakash, S., Rao,G.V., Ansari,M.I. and Narasimhan,K.S., Utility of overburden from chromitemines. Proceedings Indian National Science Academy, 50A, 467, 1984.
- [2]Caron, M.H., Ammonia leaching of nickel and cobalt ores. Transactions of American Institute of MiningEngineers, 188, 67, 1950.
- [3] Panda, S.C., Sukla,L.B. and Jena,P.K., Extraction of nickel through reduction roasting and ammoniacaileaching of lateritic nickel ores. Transactions Indian Institute of Metals, 33, 161,1980.
- [4]Carlson, E.T. and Simons, C.S., Acid leaching Moa Bay's nickel. Journal of Metals, N.Y., 12,206, 1960.
- [5]Canterford, J.H., The Extractive Metallurgy of nickel. Review of Pure and Applied Chemistry, 22,19, 1972.
- [6]Canterford, J.H., Leaching of some Australian nickeliferous laterites with sulphuric acid at atmosphericpressure. Proc. Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 265, 19, 1978..
- [7] Canterford, J.H., TheSulphation of Oxidized Nickel Ores. New York: AIME, 1979, 1,636-77.
- [8]Panda, S.C., Sukla,L.B. and Jena,P.K., Extraction of nickel and cobalt from lateritic nickel ores of Orissaby pressure leaching with sulphuric acid. Transactions Indian Institute of Metals, 28, 483, 1975.
- [9]Swamy, Y.V., Reddy, B.R., Murthy, B.V.R. and Ray, H.S., Basic aspects of nickel extraction fromchromitiferous overburden. Transactions Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, 104, 151, 1994.
- [10]Singh, B.N., Mohanty, M.S., Akerkar, D.D. and Altekar, V.A., Extraction of nickel and cobalt fromnickeliferous oxide ores of India. Transactions Indian Institute of Metals, 35, 357, 1982.
 - [11] Sarojini, B., Rao, P.K. and Sant, B.R., Extraction of nickel from laterites of Orissa by dilute nitric acidleaching. Indian Journal of Technology, 10, 155, 1972.
 - [12]Zubryckyj, N., Evans, D.J.I. and Mackiw, V.N., Preferential sulphation of nickel and cobalt in lateriticores. Journal of Metals, N.Y., 17, 478, 1965.
 - [13]Fletcher, A.W. and Shelef, M., The role of alkali sulphates in promoting the sulphation roasting of nickelsulphides. In Unit Processes in Hydrometallurgy Wadsworth M.E and Davis F.T. eds. (New York,London : Gordon and Breach) 946, 1964.
 - [14]Kolta, G.A. and Askar, M.H., Thermal decomposition of some metal sulphates. ThermochemicaActa, 11, 65, 1975.
 - [15] Sidgwick, N.V., The Chemical Elements and Their Compounds. Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1,240, 1951.