Advanced Engineering Statistics

Jay Liu Dept. Chemical Engineering PKNU

Statistical Process Control (A.K.A Process Monitoring)

• What we will cover

Reading: Textbook Ch. ? ~ ?

What is process monitoring (or SPC) ?

- \rightarrow We know that quality is not optional; customers move onto suppliers that provide quality products
- \rightarrow Quality is not a cost-benefit trade-off either
- \rightarrow Car sales in North America: the steady rise of the Asian manufacturers **Key point**: apply monitoring at every step in the manufacturing line/system
	- Low variability early on; don't wait to the end
- \blacktriangleright Definition

A collection of methods for controlling the quality of a product by collecting and interpreting data to determine the capability and current performance of a process.

SPC methods make a distinction between what is called **common cause variation** and **special cause variation**.

Terminology

- **Common cause variation** (sometimes called **inherent variation)** is always present. It normally arises from several sources, each of which usually makes a relatively small contribution. Common cause is typically quantified using measures such as the sample standard deviation s or the range R.
- ◆ Processes exhibiting only common cause variation are said to be **in statistical control,** even if they not be meeting specifications. Such processes are stable, and hence predictable (within appropriate limits identified by confidence intervals). The magnitude of common cause variation determines the **system capability.**

Terminology

- In contrast, **special cause variation** is sporadic, sometimes upsetting a process when it occurs. Special cause variation can be distinguished from common cause variation by the size or pattern of change that occurs in process behaviour. Detection of special cause variation is often subjective, with guidance from objective techniques. Because special cause variation is **abnormal variation,** *it may be harmful or beneficial*.
- \rightarrow Detecting and acting upon special cause variation is a responsibility of everyone in an organization, from operators to management. Sytems exhibiting special cause variation which is not acted upon are **not in statistical control.** System capability has no meaning for such systems (i.e. it is important to ensure that a process is **stable** before evaluating its capability).

For Your Information

- ◆ Words from Quality Engineering
	- **→** Reduction of common cause variation requires fundamental changes in an operation, requiring management authorization (i.e. fine tuning will have little effect).
	- \rightarrow Process Improvement comes about through the identification of special cause variation and then its deliberate elimination or persistence.

Relationship to automatic feedback control

- ◆ Similar to automatic (feedback) control
	- \rightarrow continually applied
	- \rightarrow check for deviations (error)
- Different to automatic (feedback) control
	- \rightarrow adjustments are infrequent
	- \rightarrow usually manual
	- \rightarrow adjust due to special causes
	- \rightarrow aim is to make (permanent) adjustments to avoid that
	- \rightarrow variability from ever occurring again

Control Charts

Used to display and detect this unusual variability

- \rightarrow it is most often a time-series plot, or sequence
- \rightarrow a target value may be shown
- one or more limit lines are shown ÷.
- \rightarrow displayed in real-time, or pretty close to real-time

Features of Control Charts

Type of control charts

See related minitab command

What do we want to see?

- Location →
	- **→ Close to target or not?**

What do we want to see?

Too much fluctuation? ۰

 $\mathbf I$

X -**R** / \overline{X} -s charts

→ Also known as Shewhart chart

- Named for Walter Shewhart from Bell Telephone and Western Electric
- \rightarrow In general, we want to know whether the quality meets the target and variation is also within certain range.
	- Sample mean (*X*) for monitoring target
- **→** Range **R** or sample standard deviation **s** for monitoring variability ※Why R instead of s?
- \rightarrow In 1920's when control charts were first introduced, calculations were carried out by hand, and so the sample range **R** was strongly preferred to the sample standard deviation as an estimate of dispersion because it was so much easier to calculate. **2012-06-27 Adv Adv Eqs. 2012-06-27 Adv**. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu © 2012-06-27 **Adv**. Eng. Stat.

X-bar Chart

- \rightarrow To "build a control chart" is to determine values for the three lines on the chart
	- \rightarrow Centre line
	- Upper control limit
	- **→** Lower control limit
- The **centre** line value for an X-bar chart may be
	- \rightarrow the target value for the performance characteristic of interest
	- \rightarrow Or the overall sample mean of $\overline{\overline{x}}$ values from recent samples of the measured characteristic, where → Or the overall sample mean of \bar{X} values from recent samples of the
measured characteristic, where
 $\overline{\overline{X}} = \frac{\text{Total of } \overline{X} \text{ values for all samples}}{\text{number of samples}}$
2012-06-27
Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu©

$$
\overline{\overline{X}} = \frac{\text{Total of } \overline{X} \text{ values for all samples}}{\text{number of samples}}
$$

X-bar Charts - Control Limits

- ◆ Upper and lower control limits for an X-bar chart are determined from the pdf of the individual sample means, which is $N(\mu,\sigma^2/n)$,
	- \rightarrow Where σ denotes the population standard deviation of individual X measurements
	- \rightarrow And n denotes the size of each sample
- \rightarrow Essentially, the limits represent 100(1- α)% confidence interval for the mean. The UCL and LCL are determined from the following.

$$
\overline{\overline{X}} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sigma_{\overline{x}}
$$
\n
$$
= \overline{\overline{X}} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha \sigma_{\overline{x}}
$$
\n2012-06-27

X-bar Charts - Control Limits

- Of course, we generally don't know the true value of the variance and we have to use an estimate in its place. In this case, the confidence limits (and consequently, the control limits) are determined using the t distribution instead of the normal distribution.
- \rightarrow We can estimate the variance of the mean values as follows.

Totalof s values for all individual samples $\overline{s} = s_{\overline{X}} =$

 \rightarrow DOF=v=k(n-1)

Then we compute the control limits as:

Number of samples
\nDOF=v=k(n-1)
\nThen we compute the control limits as:
\n
$$
\overline{\overline{X}} \pm t_{\nu,\alpha/2} s_{\overline{x}}
$$
\n
$$
= \overline{\overline{X}} \pm t_{\nu,\alpha/2} \frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}
$$
\n2012-06-27
\n
$$
= 2012-06-27
$$

X-bar Charts - Control Limits

In the past, all calculations were done by hand and were often done by personnel with very little background in statistics. Therefore, simple formulas were developed for confidence limits. Some of these are still in use today. One of the more common methodologies for X-bar and s charts is given below.

X-bar Chart - Control Limits

The **centre line value** for th $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ **chart** in this case is again

number of samples $\overline{\overline{X}}$ = Total of \overline{X} values for all samples

and the lower and upper control limits for the chart are again **determined from the pdf of the individual sample means**, which is $N(\mu,\sigma^2/n)$. Using $\frac{1}{5}$ / c_4 as an estimate of σ , limits that contain **approximately 99.73% of the possible values** $\overline{\delta}f$ **, assuming that the** population mean μ is equal to the centre line value \bar{x} , are

$$
\overline{\overline{X}} \pm 3 \frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \approx \overline{\overline{X}} \pm 3 \frac{\overline{s}}{c_{4} \sqrt{n}}
$$

For easier use these limits are expressed as: Lower control limit $= \overline{X} - A_3 \overline{s}$

Upper control limit $= \overline{\overline{X}} + A_3 \overline{s}$

X-bar Chart - Control Limits

where

$$
A_3 = \frac{3}{c_4 \sqrt{n}}
$$

is a constant whose values are shown in the following table:

| n | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| A_3 | 2.659 | 1.954 | 1.628 | 1.427 | 1.287 | 1.182 | 1.099 |

S Charts - Control Limits

The centre line value for the s chart is

Number of samples Total of s values for all individual samples \overline{s} = s_x =

The lower and upper control limits are intended to contain 99.73 % of the possible value of s, assuming that the population standard deviation σ is $\frac{1}{s}$ assuming that the population.

The pdf of the standard deviation s is such that $E (s) = c_4 \sigma$

and

$$
Var(s) = (1 - c_4^2)\sigma^2
$$

where c_4 depends on the number of data used to calculate s.

S Charts - Control Limits

Even though s is not normally distributed, both the lower and upper control limits are set as

3 (standard deviation of \overline{s}) = $\overline{s} \pm 3$ $\frac{\sqrt{1-c_4}}{s}$ \overline{s} c $1 - c$ $\overline{s} \pm 3$ 4 2 4 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ \int \backslash $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ \setminus $\int \sqrt{1-x^2}$ \pm

For easier use these limits are expressed as:

Lower control limit = $B_3\overline{s}$

Upper control limit = $B_4\overline{s}$

where \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \setminus $\int \sqrt{1-x^2}$ $=1-$ 4 $3 \begin{array}{c} 3 \end{array}$ c $1 - c$ $B_3 = 1 - 3$ **and** $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ \setminus $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\int \sqrt{1-x^2}$ 2 4 4 – 1 \rightarrow c $1 - c$

 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$

 \setminus

2 4

 \int

 \int

4

 \setminus

 $=1+$

 $B_4 = 1 + 3$

Constants used to Build s Charts

n 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 B_3 0 0 0 0.030 0.118 0.185 B4 3.267 2.568 2.266 2.089 1.970 1.882 1.815 c4 0.793 0.886 0.921 0.940 0.952 0.959 0.965

Example

- Using following measurement data, construct an Xbar-R chart.
	- Stat > control chart > variables chart for subgroups > Xbar-R \bigstar

Overview of SPC

- General model for control charts
	- \rightarrow Let W be a sample statistic that measures some quality characteristics. μ_W and σ_W are mean and standard deviation of W. then the center line, upper control limit and the lower control limit become

```
UCL = \mu_W + k \sigma_WCL = \mu_WLCL = \mu_W - k \sigma_W
```
Where k is the distance of the control limits from the center line, expressed in standard deviation units.

Ex. For monitoring \overline{X} , $\Rightarrow \overline{\overline{X}} \pm 3\sigma_{\overline{v}}$ Ex. For monitoring \overline{X} , $\Rightarrow \overline{X} \pm 3\sigma_{\overline{X}}$

2012-06-27 **Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu**®

Overview of SPC

- General approach for SPC
	- \rightarrow Phase I: building and testing from off-line data
		- **→ Data should be collected from "in-control" state**
		- \rightarrow very iterative process
		- \rightarrow you will spend most of your time here
	- \rightarrow Phase II: using the control chart
		- \rightarrow on new, unseen data
		- \rightarrow implemented with computer hardware and software
			- usually for real-time display for operators
			- $\overline{}$ Line stop, or operator alarm depending on the errors
	- ◆ Control limits are reviewed periodically.
		- \rightarrow Continual process improvement.

Performance of SPC

- Correctness (or falseness)
	- \rightarrow Error probability
		- Type I error (α) : \overline{x} typical of normal operation, but falls outside UCL or LCL limits. (ex. diagnose a normal person as a patient)

 α = 0.0027 when using $\pm 3\sigma_{\overline{x}}$ limits

- Synonyms: false alarm, false positive, overkill
- Type II error(β): \bar{x} is not in control but falls within UCL and LCL limits (ex. Diagnose a patient as being with no disease)
	- Synonyms: false negative, missing rate
- ※ Nothing makes a control chart more useless to operators than frequent false alarms.

Performance of SPC (Cont.)

Promptness

- \rightarrow average number of sequential samples we expect before seeing a point outside limits.
- \rightarrow ARL = 1/ α (i.e., the probability that any point exceeds the control limits)

Ex. For an Xbar chart with 3-sigma limit, $\alpha = 0.0027$.

 $ARL = 1/a = 1/0.0027 \approx 370$

That is, even if the process remains in control, an out-of-control signal will be generated every 370 points, on the average.

\overline{X} -R / \overline{X} -S charts _ Modification

- \rightarrow Basic Shewhart chart is not too sensitive to process shifts.
- \rightarrow Western Electric Rules to enhance sensitivity.
	- \rightarrow 2 out of 3 consecutive values of X-bar on the same side of the centre line and more than 2 standard deviations from the centre line
	- \rightarrow 4 out of 5 consecutive values of X-bar on the same side of the centre line and more than 1 standard deviation from the centre line
	- \rightarrow 8 consecutive points are the same side of the centre line
	- \rightarrow 7 or more consecutive values is a consistently rising or falling pattern
	- \rightarrow a recurring cyclic pattern
- \rightarrow abnormal clustering close to the centre line (signals a decrease in variation in the process) *X* - **R** / *X* - **s charts** _ Modification

→ Basic Shewhart chart is not too sensitive to process shifts.

→ 2010 of 3 consecutive values of *X*-bar on the same side of the centre line

and more than 2 standard devia
	- \rightarrow clustering of values close to both control limits (suggests X-bar is following two distributions instead of one).

CUSUM chart

Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) Chart

- \rightarrow Shewhart chart takes a long time to detect shift in the mean, away from target, T.
- A Cumulative Sum Chart monitors **Sⁱ** , the **cumulative sum** ("cusum") of departures of sample mean values of measurements x, up to and including sample i , from their target value, T.

$$
S_0 = (x_0 - T)
$$

\n
$$
S_1 = (x_0 - T) + (x_1 - T) = S_0 + (x_1 - T)
$$

\n
$$
S_2 = (x_0 - T) + (x_1 - T) + (x_2 - T) = S_1 + (x_2 - T)
$$

\n
$$
S_i = \sum_{j=1}^i (\overline{X}_j - T)
$$

This definition of S_i includes the case of samples of size 1. Note that each value S_i includes all of the data collected up to that point.

※ In Shewhart charts, **only the current sample value is used as a basis for decision.**

CUSUM chart (Cont.)

 \rightarrow The following statistics are maintained separately: $SU_i = \max [0, SU_{i-1} + \overline{X}_i - (T + K)]$ $SL_i = \max [o, SL_{i-1} - \overline{X}_i + (T - K)]$

If the value of either of these two statistics falls below zero, it is reset to 0. $SU_0 = 0$ and $SL_0 = 0$ $SU_i = \max\left[0, SU_{i-1} + X_i - (T + K)\right]$
 $SL_i = \max\left[0, SL_{i-1} - \overline{X}_i + (T - K)\right]$

If the value of either of these two statistics falls below zero, it is reset to 0.
 $SU_0 = 0$ and $SL_0 = 0$

K is normally set to D/2, where D is the magnit

K is normally set to $D/2$, where D is the magnitude of the shift in population mean level away from the target value that is to be detected.

CUSUM chart (Cont.)

- \rightarrow As each new data value is acquired, both SU_i and SL_i are compared to a decision limit H
- ◆ Recommended values of K and H (by Montgomery and Runger)
	- If we define $H = h \sigma_{\bar{x}}$ and $K = k \sigma_{\bar{x}}$ where $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ is the standard deviation of the samples, Using $h = 4$ or 5 and $k = \frac{1}{2}$ will give good performance.
	- \rightarrow See related options in Minitab

Example

- \rightarrow Suppose you work at a car assembly plant in a department that assembles engines. In an operating engine, parts of the crankshaft move up and down a certain distance from an ideal baseline position. AtoBDist is the distance (in mm) from the actual (A) position of a point on the crankshaft to the baseline (B) position. To ensure production quality, take five measurements each working day, from September 28 through October 15, and then ten per day from the 18th through the 25th. (open cranksh.mtw in minitab)
- \rightarrow Construct Shewhart chart
	- \rightarrow Stat > control chart > variables chart for subgroups > Xbar-R
- **→** Construct CUSUM chart
	- \rightarrow Stat > Control Charts > Time-weighted Charts > CUSUM.

EWMA (Exponentially Weighted Moving Average) chart

- ◆ With a Shewhart chart, decisions about departures of interest from a target value are made on the basis of **only the current value** of the measured performance characteristic. That is, **zero weight** is given to previous measured values. In contrast, the **cusum chart** assigns **equal weight to the current measured value and all previous values** of the performance characteristic.
- The **exponentially weighted moving average chart (EWMA chart)** provides a compromise between these two approaches.
	- \rightarrow heavier weights for recent observations
	- \rightarrow small weights old observations

EWMA chart (Cont.)

The **EWMA chart** monitors **E^t ,** the exponentially weighted moving average of all measured values of the performance characteristic, up to and including time t. The exponentially weighted moving average is defined as

$$
E_t = \lambda x_t + (1 - \lambda) E_{t-1}
$$

which can also be expressed as

$$
E_t = E_{t-1} + \lambda (x_t - E_{t-1})
$$

By custom, $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{o}}$ is usually set to the target value. This definition for $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is equivalent to which can also be expressed as
 $E_t = E_{t-1} + \lambda (x_t - E_{t-1})$

By custom, \mathbf{E}_0 is usually set to the target value. This definition for \mathbf{E}_t is

equivalent to
 $E_t = \lambda x_t + \lambda (1 - \lambda) x_{t-1} + \lambda (1 - \lambda)^2 x_{t-2} + \cdots$
 λt . λt

$$
E_t = \lambda x_t + \lambda (1 - \lambda) x_{t-1} + \lambda (1 - \lambda)^2 x_{t-2} + \cdots
$$

EWMA chart (Cont.)

Control limits for an **EWMA** chart are

target value
$$
\pm 3s \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda}}
$$

where s is an estimate of the standard deviation of the charted characteristic (e.g. $s\sqrt{n}$ for sample means).

Other control charts

- Control charts for count data
	- **→** np CHART: used for monitoring the occurrence of defective product or process behaviour when the sample size (number of items inspected at each sampling interval) is constant, and each item inspected is declared either acceptable or unacceptable.
	- \rightarrow p Chart: a tool for monitoring the occurrence of defective product or process behaviour when the sample size (number of items inspected at each sampling interval) may vary (because of fluctuating production levels or other reasons), and each item inspected is declared either acceptable or unacceptable.
	- \rightarrow Stat > control charts > attributes charts

Examples

◆ Construct P chart using the following data

| date | Sample size | defective | date | Sample size | defective |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 9/5 | 12 | $\mathbf{2}$ | - | 46 | $\overline{7}$ |
| 9/6 | $17\,$ | 3 | | 43 | $\overline{5}$ |
| 9/7 | 25 | $\overline{4}$ | | 43 | $\mathbf O$ |
| 9/8 | 30 | $\overline{4}$ | - | 43 | $\overline{7}$ |
| 9/9 | 44 | 3 | | 40 | $\overline{5}$ |
| 9/10 | 24 | $\overline{4}$ | | 50 | 3 |
| - | $18\,$ | $\overline{2}$ | | 22 | 3 |
| $\overline{}$ | 13 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\overline{}$ | 24 | 5 |
| | 26 | $\overline{4}$ | | 36 | 6 |
| - | 36 | 6 | $\overline{}$ | 45 | 8 |
| | 40 | $\overline{2}$ | | 33 | 3 |

Process capability

Suppose you need to choose a raw material supplier among company A and company B. You received a database containing quality of a raw material from each company and plotted them with spec. limits (LSL and USL) that you product requests. Which one would you choose?

How to quantify this capability?

Process capability (Cont.)

 C_p (or PCR, process capability ratio)

$$
C_p = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sigma}
$$

 $\rm C_{pk}$ (or \rm{PCR}_{k}) for one-sided limit

$$
C_{pk} = \min\left(\frac{USL - \mu}{3\sigma}, \frac{\mu - LSL}{3\sigma}\right)
$$

In general, C_{p} (or C_{pk}) = 1.33 is minimum requirement ※Stat > quality tools > capability analysis ※Note: Cpk and Cp are only useful for a process which is stable **2012-06-27 Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu®**
 2012-06-27 Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu®
 2012-06-27 Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu®
 Adv. Eng. Stat., Jay Liu®

 μ and σ : calculated from data

Monitoring in industrial practice

- \rightarrow Widely used in industry, at all levels
- Management: monitor plants, geographic region, countries (e.g. hourly sales by region)
	- Dashboards, ERP, BI, KPI
- Challenges for you:
	- \rightarrow Getting the data out
	- \rightarrow Real-time use of the data (value of data decays exponentially)
	- \rightarrow Training is time consuming

General workflow

- 1. Identify variable(s) to monitor.
- 2. Retrieve historical data (computer systems, or lab data, or paper records)
- 3. Import data and just plot it.

Any time trends, outliers, spikes, missing data gaps?

4. Locate regions of stable, common-cause operation.

Remove spikes and outliers

- 5. Estimate limits by eye
- 6. Calculate control limits (UCL, LCL), using formula
- 7. Test your chart on new, unused data.

Testing data: should contain both common and special cause operation

8. How does your chart work?

Quantify the type I and II error. \rightarrow Adjust the limits; repeat

General workflow

1. Run chart on your desktop computer for a couple of days

Confirm unusual events with operators; would they have reacted to it? False alarm?

Refine your limits

2. Not an expert system - will not diagnose problems:

use your head; look at patterns; knowledge of other process events

3. Demonstrate to your colleagues and manager

But go with dollar values

- 4. Installation and operator training will take time
- 5. Listen to your operators

make plots interactive - click on unusual point, it drills-down to give more context

Process improvement using the control charts

Industrial case study: Dofasco

- ArcelorMittal in Hamilton (formerly called Dofasco) has used multivariate process monitoring tools since 1990's
- Over 100 applications used daily
- ◆ Most well known is their casting monitoring application, Caster SOS (Stable Operation Supervisor)
- \rightarrow It is a multivariate monitoring system.

We will briefly review multivariate statistics later!

Dofasco case study: slabs of steel

Dofasco case study: casting

Dofasco case study: breakout

Dofasco case study: monitoring for breakouts

Screenshot of caster SOS

Warning limits and the action limits.

Lots of other operator-relevant information

Dofasco case study: economics of monitoring

- Implemented system in 1997; multiple upgrades since then
- Economic savings: more than \$ 1 million/year
	- \rightarrow each breakout costs around \$200,000 to \$500,000
	- \rightarrow process shutdowns and/or equipment damage

